UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/528,508                      | 10/11/2005             | Teruya Maeda         | 450100-04781        | 4361             |  |
| William S Fron                  | 7590 12/03/200<br>nmer | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| Frommer Lawre<br>745 Fifth Aven | ence & Haug            | HU, RUI MENG         |                     |                  |  |
| New York, NY                    |                        | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
|                                 |                        |                      | 2618                |                  |  |
|                                 |                        |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                                 |                        |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                                 |                        |                      | 12/03/2008          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |  |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/528,508      | MAEDA, TERUYA |  |
|                 |               |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |  |

|  | RuiMeng Hu   | 2618  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add   | ress                                     |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>21 November 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS  | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F   | OR ALLOWANCE.   |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance  | , or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or           | hich places the (3) a Request            |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing   | date of the final rejection.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac<br>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth it<br>ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing   | date of the final rejection                               | n.                                       |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l<br>MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   | ).   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount on the corresponding amount of the corresponding a | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl  | iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f  | iled within two months                                    | s of the date of                         |  |  |  |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS   | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the                                    |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  | ut prior to the date of filing a brief,  | will <u>not</u> be entered be                             | cause                                    |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further con   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below   | •  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett   | er form for appeal by materially rec   | lucing or simplifying tl                                  | ne issues for                            |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or<br>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c   | orroonanding number of finally rais  | atad alaima   |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11   |  | cled ciaims.  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   |  | mnliant Amendment (I                                      | PTOL-324)                                |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  | inpliant Americanient (                                   | 10L-32+).                                |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alk   |  | imely filed amendmer                                      | nt canceling the                         |  |  |  |
| non-allowable claim(s).  | mazie ii cuzmilica iii a coparato, t   | intoly mod antionamor                                     | it danieding the                         |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  | be entered and an e                                       | xplanation of                            |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  | before or on the data of filing a Nic  | tion of Annual will not                                   | be entered                               |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | l and/or appellant fail:                                  | s to provide a                           |  |  |  |
| 10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |   |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | I NOT I II II II II  | 110   |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowan                                     | ce because:                              |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |
| /Edward Urban/   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: As regarding claim 1, the newly added limitations "transmission of a reference signal to the wireless terminal communication apparatus alternately from each of the plurality of antenna bodies, wherein a range of detection of the reference signal by the wireless terminal apparatus is enlarged by the transmission of the reference signal alternately through each of the plurality of antenna bodies;" raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.